



## EUROPEAN ALLIANCE FOR WOLF CONSERVATION

---

### **HELSINKI MANIFESTO TO IMPROVE THE ENFORCEMENT OF CURRENT EUROPEAN LEGISLATION FOR WOLF PROTECTION**

The European Alliance for Wolf Conservation (EAWC) is a Platform of NGOs from different European States, advocating on behalf of the civil society and the scientific community for a fact-based wolf conservation model, and a more rigorous enforcement of the European Environmental policy and legislation at the national level and across Europe, demanding the strict protection of this species declared of “Community Interest”, in accordance with the EU Habitats Directive, and within the frame of the Bern Convention.

**WHEREAS** the wolf is a key species for maintaining the balance in ecosystems, and its slow but continuing recovery throughout Europe brings a new opportunity for this invaluable ally in the fight against global biodiversity loss and climate emergency, to help our continent heal its nature by means of a true ecological transition, in accordance with the UN Sustainable Development Goals...

**WHEREAS** international treaties and binding legislations such as the Bern Convention (1979, Annex II, Strictly protected species), EU Habitats Directive (92/43/CEE, Species of Community Interest), CITES (Appendix II, 04/02/1977), regulate the conservation of the wolf and state the clear obligation for Member States to ensure that its populations reach a Favourable Conservation Status wherever the species is naturally occurring...

**WHEREAS** until now the most widespread method of management practiced in European Countries is the culling of individuals, which has proven not only to be counterproductive and scientifically unjustified, but to perpetuate an outdated cultural perception of the wolf as “vermin” and “problem species” which prevents the citizens from realising the positive aspects of the wolf for nature and for the improvement of human life standards,

The signing organizations, gathered in Helsinki on June 8th 2019, submit to the European Parliament and to the Environment Commissioner the following 10 demands from the civil society and the scientific community, concerning the conservation of the wolf in our countries:

- 1.- States should enhance the implementation of livestock protection measures and fully assume their responsibility on the matter, demanding livestock breeders subject to EU's C.A.P funds, to implement the most appropriate combination of protection measures, meeting the science-based minimum standards.
- 2.- Compensation subsidies for livestock damages must be subordinated to the use of preventive measures. EU has recently encouraged Member States to compensate for up to 100% of the cost, lost profit included, of protection against large carnivores through the “Amendment to the State aid Guidelines for the agricultural sector, to better address damages caused by wolves and other protected animals”, such as building predator-proof fences. So there should be no excuse for continue paying such subsidies to breeders that deliberately refuse to comply with their obligation to protect their livestock.

3.- Lethal control practices such as culling and hunting of wolves must stop immediately, because they disrupt family structure and other aspects of their natural behaviour, increase the attacks on livestock, and prevent wolves from performing their function as apex predators, that contribute to the ecological balance through trophic cascade effects. The social unit of the wolf, the family, must be preserved, without human interference, in order to be functional and consequently enable the species to achieve a true Favourable Conservation Status. Also, Member States need to fight more actively against poaching and other causes of non-natural wolf mortality.

4.- An in-depth ongoing study of genetic variability in the European wolf populations is necessary in order to provide a clear definition of the species' Conservation Status, that is scientifically credible, legally valid, and politically acceptable. Populations with low genetic variability are especially vulnerable regardless of population figures, which are often inflated in official counts.

5.- Natural dispersion of wild wolves among neighbouring territories and countries must be facilitated and not obstructed, being of utmost importance for the ecosystems, and crucial for the genetic viability of the species in the long term. Such natural movements should never be hindered with the establishment of “Wolf Free Zones”, an arbitrary concept, that implies local extermination of the species and is thus against the spirit of the relevant European regulations.

6.- More effective methods for identification need to be developed and put in place as a way to verify if an attack was really caused by wolves. Currently, in many rural areas where domestic dogs are at large, attacks are routinely attributed to the wolf, without scientific proof. This practice has the detrimental effect of inflating wolf predation statistics, and perpetuating the negative image of the wolf.

7.- European, national and regional authorities must stop using derogations as a solution for perceived wolf-human conflict. A lax use of derogations sends the wrong message to the public, so that the perception of “problem animals” is replaced by the perception of a “problem species”, thus betraying the whole purpose of the concept of derogation.

8.- The Administrations must provide clear and accurate information about the status of the wolves, the ways they are managed, and their positive role in the ecosystem. The expectation, that officially sanctioned killing of wolves would improve their social acceptance has been proven wrong, and it is now evident, that the proper way for governments to foster a positive perception of the wolf, is to treat it and refer to it as an asset, not a nuisance. Informing the public about large carnivores must be a joint task and responsibility of governments and NGOs. Unjustified and unreasonable fear of wolves will only be reduced by foresight of long-term educational work.

9.- National Administrations must make sure that hunters take responsibility for the control of their hunting dogs as any other dog owner. Uncontrolled dogs should not be allowed in areas known to be inhabited by wolves and other protected large carnivores.

10.- EU authorities need to define a European level strategy for Wolf Stewardship that includes a clearer definition of key concepts, such as the following: What is the Favourable Conservation Status; what are the minimum standards for livestock protection and preventive measures; what is a bold wolf; what is the appropriate livestock damage compensations system.

LIST OF 12 EUROPEAN NGOs ADHERING TO THE HELSINKI MANIFESTO

Lobo Marley, - SPAIN  
FERUS, - FRANCE  
ZooLogical, - PORTUGAL  
Natagora, - BELGIUM  
Freundeskreis freilebender Wölfe, - GERMANY  
Gruppe Wolf Schweiz, - SWITZERLAND  
The Wolf Action Group, - FINLAND  
ULVETID, - DENMARK  
European Wilderness Society, - AUSTRIA  
LOOMUS, - ESTONIA  
NOAH, - NORWAY  
Svenska Rovdjursföreningen, - SWEDEN

